CANUCK LAW

Reporting That You're Not Getting Elsewhere (Do Your Own Research To Verify)

MARCH 21, 2020 BY RONNIE

B’nai Brith Canada Is Anti-Free Speech, Ontario’s Bill 168

cpac

Watch on

CityNews

Watch on

Office of the Commissioner of Lobbying of Canada | Commissariat au lobbying du Canada

Office of the Commissioner of Lobbying of Canada

Français | Home | Contact Us | Help | Search | Canada.ca

Registration - In-house Organization

Email

B'nai Brith Canada / Frank Dimant, Executive Vice President

Registration Information

In-house Organization name: **B'nai Brith Canada**

Previous in-house organization names

Responsible Officer Name: **Frank Dimant, Executive Vice President**

Initial registration start date: **1996-10-24**

Registration status: **Inactive**

Registration Number: **780970-12176**


B'NAI BRITH CANADA
Grassroots human rights advocacy and a lifeline for our community
Sign up!
MENU
COMMUNICATIONS CENTRE
CLOTHING DONATION
CONTACT US
DONATE NOW
Seniors living under the poverty line need our help during this crisis!
Can you help us help them?
Latest News
B'nai Brith to Continue Supporting Seniors in Need while Daily Program Pauses
Have you experienced antisemitism, racism or discrimination?
Report an Incident

1. Important Links

(1) https://lobbycanada.gc.ca/app/secure/ocl/lrs/do/advSrch?V_SEARCH.command=refineCategory&V_TOKEN=1234567890&V_SEARCH.scopeCategory=solr.facetName.subjectMatters%3D5

(2) http://archive.is/3hU27

(3) https://lobbycanada.gc.ca/app/secure/ocl/lrs/do/vwRg?cno=12176&regId=496692

(4) http://archive.is/jcNOM

(5) https://www.bnaibrith.ca/our_appeal_to_the_prime_minister_confronting_antisemitism_will_strengthen_national_unity

(6) http://archive.is/diKdj

(7) https://www.bnaibrith.ca/our_letter_to_the_prime_minister

(8) http://archive.is/rBhiF

(9) https://www.bnaibrith.ca/b_nai_brith_canada_welcomes_government_s_acceptance_of_ihra_definition_of_antisemitism

(10) http://archive.is/mXEUO

(11) https://www.holocaustremembrance.com/working-definition-antisemitism

(12) http://archive.is/4tjCw

(13) https://www.robinmartinmpp.ca/bill168

(14) http://archive.is/IuWAY

(15) https://www.canada.ca/en/canadian-heritage/campaigns/anti-racism-engagement/anti-racism-strategy.html

(16) http://archive.is/nUEwE

(17) https://www.ola.org/en/legislative-business/bills/parliament-42/session-1/bill-168

(18) http://archive.is/PPk8V

2. Corporate Documents

B’nai Brith League For Human Rights

bblhr.01.bylaws

bblhr.02.change.registered.office

bblhr.03.amendments

bblhr.04.certificate.of.incorporation

bblhr.05.director.changes

B’nai Brith National Organization

bbno.01.director.changes

bbno.02.certificate.of.incorporation

bbno.03.change.registered.office

bbno.04.notice.of.financials

3. B’nai Brith & The Lobbying Commission

l to	Grass-roots communication, Informal communications, Meetings, Presentations, Telephone calls, Written communications, whether in hard copy or electronic format
ṇ:	Arts and Culture, Broadcasting, Constitutional Issues, Education, Employment and Training, Immigration, International Relations, International Trade, Justice and Law Enforcement, Taxation and Finance
	CULTURAL GRANT, DEPARTMENT OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, CANADIAN HERITAGE STANDING AT THE SOMALIA COMMISSION INVITED BOTH THE PRIME MINISTER AND PC LEADER JEAN CHAREST TO ADDRESS JEWISH COMMUNITY
	B'NAI BRITH CANADA'S ANNUAL GOVERNMENT RELATIONS DAY WHEREBY B'NAI BRITH LEADERS MEET WITH CABINET M INISTERS, LEADERS OF THE OPPOSITION, AND SENIOR GO VERNMENT CIVIL SERVANTS. B'NAI BRITH WILL ALSO BE PARTICIPATING IN THE ANNUAL NGO CONSULTATIONS WITH THE DFAIT PRIOR TO THE UN COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIG HTS B'NAI BRITH CANADA'S NATIONAL UNITY SYMPOSIUM THROUGH A GRANT FROM CANADIAN HERITAGE

A very disturbing sight: broadcasting. Want to take a bet that B’nai Brith is (among other things) pushing for more speech restrictions?

Was the organization funded in whole or in part by any domestic or foreign government institution in the **last calendar year**?	Yes
End date of the organization's **last completed** financial year:	1996-12-31

List of Government Funding

Government Institution	Funding Received in Last Financial Year
DEPARTMENT OF CANADIAN HERITAGE (CAN. UNITY)	$18,000.00
DEPARTMENT OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND INTERNATIONAL TRADE	$10,000.00
DEPARTMENT OF CANADIAN HERITAGE (EMPEROR OF ATLANTIS)	$30,000.00

B. Lobbyists Employed by the Organization

Name:	LISA ARMONY
Position title:	INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS, NAT'L DIRECTR
Public offices held:	N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.
Name:	JOYCE ASTER
Position title:	ONTARIO REGIONAL OFFICE, DIRECTOR
Public offices held:	N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.

B. Lobbyists Employed by the Organization

Name: LISA ARMONY

Position title: INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS, NAT’L DIRECTR

Public offices held: N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.

Name: JOYCE ASTER
Position title: ONTARIO REGIONAL OFFICE, DIRECTOR
Public offices held: N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.

Name: DAVID COOPER
Position title: LEAGUE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, RESEARCH & COMMUNICATIONS
Public offices held: N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.

Name: FRANK DIMANT
Position title: Executive Vice President
Public offices held: N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.

Name: RUBIN FRIEDMAN
Position title: GOVERNMENT RELATIONS OFFICE, DIRECTOR
Public offices held: N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.

Name: PEARL GLADMAN
Position title: NATIONAL FIELD SERVICES, NATIONAL DIRECTOR
Public offices held: N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.

Name: ANAT LEWIN
Position title: INSTITUTE FOR INT’L AFFAIRS, RESEARCH & POLICY
Public offices held: N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.

Name: ROBERT LIBMAN
Position title: QUEBEC REGIONAL OFFICE, DIRECTOR
Public offices held: N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June

20, 2005.

Name: KAREN MOCK
Position title: LEAGUE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, NATIONAL DIRECTOR
Public offices held: N/A. Disclosure of this information was not a requirement prior to June 20, 2005.

Although the lobbying reports found are from around 20 years ago, they show B’nai Brith had a persistent interest in lobbying Parliament on a variety of topics.

4. B’nai Brith’s Anti-Free Speech Agenda


B'NAI BRITH CANADA
Grassroots human rights advocacy and a lifeline for our community
Sign up!
MENU
COMMUNICATIONS CENTRE
CLOTHING DONATION
CONTACT US
DONATE NOW
Our Appeal to the Prime Minister: Confronting Antisemitism Will Strengthen National Unity
Have you experienced antisemitism, racism or discrimination?
Report an Incident

Also included is the letter to the Prime Minister.

Quote: Among the main priorities also raised with the Prime Minister are:

- Ensuring that Canada’s new Anti-Racism Strategy will address concerns of and threats to religious minorities, including the Jewish community.
- Pursuing standardized and mandatory education curricula on antisemitism and the Holocaust, in collaboration with the provinces and territories.

- Creating a federal position to coordinate domestic action on antisemitism, working with a special envoy to combat antisemitism globally.
- Fully implementing the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance’s (IHRA) Working Definition of Antisemitism, ***as adopted by the federal government in June***, and launching a program to educate Canadians about it.
- Adopting the recommendations made in November by the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief and its landmark report on antisemitism.

“Antisemitism must be addressed through a national effort that strengthens our society and promotes unity,” said Michael Mostyn, Chief Executive Officer of B’nai Brith Canada. “Given the importance of federal leadership, and the beginning of a brand new Parliament, raising the concerns of our community at this time is essential. [End quote]

In a practical sense, how is this different than Iqra Khalid wanting to make “Islamophobia” illegal? The Islamists and the Zionists are using essentially the same tactics.

5. Gov’t Adopts IHRA Def’n Of Anitsemitism

Français
Government of Canada
Gouvernement du Canada
Search Canada.ca
MENU
Home > Canadian Heritage > Canada’s Anti-Racism Strategy
Building a Foundation for Change: Canada’s Anti-Racism Strategy 2019–2022
On this page
Foreword from the Honourable Pablo Rodriguez, Minister of Canadian Heritage & Multiculturalism
Guiding principles
Demonstrating Federal Leadership
A Whole-of-Government Approach: The Anti-Racism Secretariat
Building on the work we're doing
Building a Foundation for Change: Canada's Anti-Racism Strategy 2019–2022 [PDF version - 1.5 MB]

Antisemitism

Antisemitism is a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred toward

> Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of antisemitism are directed toward Jewish or non-Jewish individuals and/or their property, toward Jewish community institutions and religious facilities.
> Footnote 2

Of course, footnote #2 comes from:

> International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance “Working Definition of Antisemitism”. For further information, visit: https://www.holocaustremembrance.com/working-definition-antisemitism.

But don’t worry kids, it’s not binding.

6. What Is IHRA Definition Of Anitsemitism?





Working Definition of Antisemitism

Select your language

English

About the IHRA

The IHRA is the only intergovernmental organization mandated to focus solely on Holocaust-related issues, so with evidence that the scourge of antisem rise, we resolved to take a leading role in combatting it. IHRA experts determined that in order to begin to address the problem of antisemitism, there mus antisemitism is.

The IHRA's Committee on Antisemitism and Holocaust Denial worked to build international consensus around a working definition of antisemitism, which by the plenary. By doing so, the IHRA set an example of responsible conduct for other international fora and provided an important tool with practical app Countries. This is just one illustration of how the IHRA has equipped policymakers to address this rise in hate and discrimination at their national level.

The Working Definition of Antisemitism

In the spirit of the Stockholm Declaration that states: "With humanity still scarred by …antisemitism and xenophobia the international community shares a fight those evils" the committee on Antisemitism and Holocaust Denial called the IHRA Plenary in Budapest 2015 to adopt the following working definition

On 26 May 2016, the Plenary in Bucharest decided to:

Adopt the following non-legally binding working definition of antisemitism:

Does it sound scary? Well, here is the definition of anti-Semitism IHRA provides:

> To guide IHRA in its work, the following examples may serve as illustrations:
>
> Manifestations might include the targeting of the state of Israel, conceived as a Jewish collectivity. However, criticism of Israel similar to that leveled against any other country cannot be regarded as antisemitic.
>
> Antisemitism frequently charges Jews with conspiring to harm humanity, and it is often used to blame Jews for “why things go wrong.” It is expressed in speech, writing, visual forms and action, and employs sinister stereotypes and negative character traits.
>
> Contemporary examples of antisemitism in public life, the media, schools, the workplace, and in the religious sphere could, taking into account the overall context, include, but are not limited to:
>
> -Calling for, aiding, or justifying the killing or harming of Jews in the name of a radical ideology or an extremist view of religion.

-Making mendacious, dehumanizing, demonizing, or stereotypical allegations about Jews as such or the power of Jews as collective — such as, especially but not exclusively, the myth about a world Jewish conspiracy or of Jews controlling the media, economy, government or other societal institutions.

-Accusing Jews as a people of being responsible for real or imagined wrongdoing committed by a single Jewish person or group, or even for acts committed by non-Jews.

-Denying the fact, scope, mechanisms (e.g. gas chambers) or intentionality of the genocide of the Jewish people at the hands of National Socialist Germany and its supporters and accomplices during World War II (the Holocaust).
Accusing the Jews as a people, or Israel as a state, of inventing or exaggerating the Holocaust.

-Accusing Jewish citizens of being more loyal to Israel, or to the alleged priorities of Jews worldwide, than to the interests of their own nations.

-Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination, e.g., by claiming that the existence of a State of Israel is a racist endeavor.

-Applying double standards by requiring of it a behavior not expected or demanded of any other democratic nation.
Using the symbols and images associated with classic antisemitism (e.g., claims of Jews killing Jesus or blood libel) to characterize Israel or Israelis.

-Drawing comparisons of contemporary Israeli policy to that of the Nazis.

-Holding Jews collectively responsible for actions of the state of Israel.

-Antisemitic acts are criminal when they are so defined by law (for example, denial of the Holocaust or distribution of antisemitic materials in some countries).

> Criminal acts are antisemitic when the targets of attacks, whether they are people or property – such as buildings, schools, places of worship and cemeteries – are selected because they are, or are perceived to be, Jewish or linked to Jews.
>
> Antisemitic discrimination is the denial to Jews of opportunities or services available to others and is illegal in many countries.

What a lot of projection here. And what an attempt to criminalise things that are in fact true:
(a) A lot of Jews “are” more loyal to Israel than where they live
(b) Why can’t the Holocaust be questioned? Every other event in human history is allowed to be questioned, but not this apparently.
(c) Nothing wrong with Jews having their own place. The problem arises in the double standard hypocrisy, where Jews try to open borders of OTHER nations.
(d) Making dehumanizing or stereotypical comments? Sure that won’t ever be abused.

7. Other Media On A-S Definition Acceptance

From the Jerusalum Post:

> The Minister of Canadian Heritage and Multiculturalism, Pablo Rodríguez, announced on Tuesday that the Canadian government ***intends to adopt the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance’s (IHRA) definition of antisemitism*** as part of its anti-racism strategy.

From the Jewish News Syndicate

> “Canada adopting IHRA’s definition of antisemitism is an important symbolic and declaratory move,” said NGO Monitor founder and president Gerald Steinberg. “We hope that the next steps will pertain to its implementation within Canadian policy, including regarding Canadian international aid and support of NGOs.”

> B’nai Brith Canada labeled the IHRA standard “the most universally accepted and expertly driven definition of anti-Semitism available today,” and one that “enjoys

unprecedented consensus.”

8. B’nai Brith’s 8-Pt Plan On Antisemitism


ANNUAL AUDIT OF
ANTISEMITIC INCIDENTS 2018
TERRORIST STATE
Jewish CLUB
B'NAI BRITH CANADA
League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada
Ligue des droits de la personne
B’NAI BRITH’S EIGHT-POINT PLAN TO TACKLE ANTISEMITISM
1
INSTITUTE DEDICATED HATE CRIME UNITS IN EVERY MAJOR CITY
The lack of investment in hate crime-specific units contributes to both a perceived sense of impunity for the purveyors of hate crimes and generates frustration on the part of affected communities. Dedicated hate crimes units could produce more substantive results in the field.
2
PROVIDE ENHANCED TRAINING FOR HATE CRIMES OFFICERS
What often appears to be a clear-cut case of a hate crime can be interpreted differently among police services. A standard understanding of what constitutes a hate crime is critical, as well as proper liaison functions between police services and civil society organizations representing affected communities, such as the League for Human Rights.
3
PUBLISH THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL’S GUIDELINES FOR SECTIONS 318 AND 319
The Attorney-General’s decision-making process on hate propaganda prosecutions is not public and therefore

bnaibrith.8.point.plan

[1] INSTITUTE DEDICATED HATE CRIME UNITS IN EVERY MAJOR CITY The lack of investment in hate crime-specifi c units contributes to both a perceived sense of impunity for the purveyors of hate crimes and generates frustration on the part of affected communities. Dedicated hate crimes units could produce more substantive results in the field.

[2] PROVIDE ENHANCED TRAINING FOR HATE CRIMES OFFICERS What often appears to be a clear-cut case of a hate crime can be interpreted differently among police services. A standard understanding of what constitutes a hate crime is critical, as well as proper liaison functions between police services and civil society organizations representing affected communities, such as the League for Human Rights.

[3] PUBLISH THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL’S GUIDELINES FOR SECTIONS 318 AND

319 The Attorney-General’s decision-making process on hate propaganda prosecutions is not public and therefore open to charges of political bias. B’nai Brith believes revealing the internal guidelines elucidating this process will help the public know when to submit complaints to law enforcement, and clarify what is and is not legal.

[4] DECLARE A ZERO-TOLERANCE APPROACH TO GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF ANTISEMITISM Government funding has again found its way to organizations that have promoted antisemitism in the past. Government must be vigilant when dispensing public funds to such organizations, and take swift action when such instances come to its attention, including an immediate withdrawal of all publicly-provided funds.

[5] INTRODUCE ANTI-SLAPP LEGISLATION IN ALL PROVINCES AND TERRITORIES Only B.C., Ontario and Quebec have enacted legislation against Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation, or “anti-SLAPP” legislation, which is meant to prevent frivolous libel lawsuits designed to dissuade groups engaging in issues of public interest by using lawsuits to intimidate and deter critique or inquiry. B’nai Brith encourages all provinces and territories to enact this legislation so this protection can be extended to the benefit of all Canadians

[6] HOLD UNIVERSITIES ACCOUNTABLE FOR CAMPUS ANTISEMITISM Universities recently surfaced as significant breeding grounds for antisemitism in Canada, including through an increase in far-left activism against Israel. Universities must do more to combat antisemitism, as do provincial ministries of education, including enforcing existing antidiscrimination policies and ensuring that appropriate disciplinary measures are employed.

[7] ADOPT A NATIONAL ACTION PLAN FOR ANTISEMITISM Canada must adopt a National Action Plan to Combat Antisemitism, as have France and Norway, in recognition that adequate resources must be offered to strategically combat anti-Jewish rhetoric. Such a plan would involve all levels of government, which could help law enforcement, communities, and schools prevent and respond to antisemitism.

[8] DEVELOP AN ACTION PLAN TO COUNTER ONLINE HATE B’nai Brith believes that the federal government, along with social media platforms and other stakeholders, can

work in tandem to establish a viable strategic plan to counter online hate. Government must examine how to strengthen laws against perpetrators of online hate and improve law enforcement training in how to respond.

9. Ontario And Bill 186

EXPLANATORY NOTE
The Bill requires the Government of Ontario to be guided by the working definition of antisemitism and the list of illustrative examples of it, adopted by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance plenary on May 26, 2016, when it interprets Acts, regulations and policies designed to protect Ontarians from discrimination and hate amounting to antisemitism.

The Bill also amends the Legislation Act, 2006 to adopt that working definition.
Bill 168 2019
An Act to combat antisemitism
Preamble
.
Antisemitism is a multi-faceted problem that requires a multi-faceted strategy,

encompassing a range of ministries and agencies. For that reason, it is desirable to require the Government of Ontario to implement a whole-of-government approach in combating antisemitism. As part of that approach, it is desirable to apply a consistent interpretation of Acts, regulations and policies designed to protect Ontarians from discrimination and hate amounting to antisemitism.

.

Therefore, Her Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario, enacts as follows:

.

Interpretation

1 In interpreting Acts, regulations and policies designed to protect Ontarians from discrimination and hate amounting to antisemitism, the Government of Ontario shall be guided by the working definition of antisemitism and the list of illustrative examples of it adopted by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance plenary on May 26, 2016.

Legislation Act, 2006 amendment

.

2 Section 87 of the Legislation Act, 2006 is amended by adding the following definition:

“antisemitism” has the meaning set out in the working definition of antisemitism and the list of illustrative examples of it adopted by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance plenary on May 26, 2016; (antisémitisme”)

Commencement

.

3 This Act comes into force on the day it receives Royal Assent.

Short title

4 The short title of this Act is the Combating Antisemitism Act, 2019.

bill.168.antisemitism

Of course, the Ontario Government is a “Conservative” majority, headed by “populist” Doug Ford. Wasn’t aware that passing anti-free speech laws was a conservative value.

B’nai Brith was a main player in getting this legislation pushed.

10. B’nai Brith Is Anti-Free Speech

The above is just a sample of what the group is up to.

And yes, B’nai Brith is a huge supporter of aiding mass migration to the West, and using our countries as dumping grounds. Israel is off limits of course – More migrants for thee, but none for me.

However, that will be a post all on its own.

As for all of the players trying to undermine Canadian sovereignty, let’s name them.

11. Who Are These Open Borders NGOs?

(1) AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL
(2) B’NAI BRITH
(3) BRIDGES NOT BORDERS
(4) CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF REFUGEE LAWYERS
(5) CANADIAN COUNCIL FOR REFUGEES
(6) CANADIAN COUNCIL OF CHURCHES
(7) CENTER FOR ISRAEL AND JEWISH AFFAIRS
(8) JEWISH REFUGEE ACTION NETWORK
(9) PLATTSBURGH CARES
(10) SOLIDARITY ACROSS BORDERS

Honourable mention: ex-Israeli Ambassador David Berger

This is by no means a complete list, but a starting point. One will immediately notice a common thread that runs between most of these groups. However, not everyone is willing to address that.


Anthony Furey
@anthonyfurey
I have several answers to that, but one is that many of them have been led by NY state activist / trafficking groups to genuinely think that what they're doing isn't even wrong. Visual cues like a fence rather than a welcome wagon correct that misinformation.
Barbara Kay @BarbaraRKay · 7h
Replying to @anthonyfurey
What do you say to those who respond that they would simply find a new, less supervised entry point? Long border...

Anthony Furey (see above tweet) writes for the Toronto Sun, and has contributed to True North Canada, Candice Malcolm’s “charity”.

While Furey clearly knows that the efforts are coordinated to smuggle these people into Canada, Furey (and other outfits like Rebel Media) refrain from exposing ***WHO*** is behind these efforts. They focus on a symptom, and not the disease.

This is probably because these groups are mainly Jewish, and Furey has a self-preservation instinct. He doesn’t want to hit too close to home, and end his media career.

CANADIAN BILLS, FREE SPEECH, GLOBALISM, IMMIGRATION (CANADA), MEDIA, NGO/CS, WORLD, ZIONISM